# <span id="page-0-0"></span>MEM6810 Engineering Systems Modeling and Simulation <sup>工</sup>程系统建模与仿<sup>真</sup>

### Theory Analysis

## Lecture 10: Output Analysis III: Optimization

### SHEN Haihui 沈海辉

Sino-US Global Logistics Institute Shanghai Jiao Tong University



 [shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/)  $\blacktriangleright$  shenhaihui@sjtu.edu.cn

Spring 2023 (full-time)







## **Contents**



#### **1** [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)
- $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)
- 2 [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) **> [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)**
- **3** Black-box CO<sub>v</sub>S Problem
	- [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)
	-
	- $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





<span id="page-2-0"></span>

#### **1** [Introduction](#page-2-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)
- [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) ▶ [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)
- 3 [Black-box COvS Problem](#page-14-0) [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)  $\triangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)  $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





<span id="page-3-0"></span>• Optimization via Simulation (OvS), or, simply called Simulation Optimization (SO):

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\;g(\mathbf{x})\coloneqq\mathbb{E}[G(\mathbf{x},\xi)],
$$

where  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is the feasible set, and  $g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a deterministic function whose [va](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)lues can only be evaluated with noisy observations.

- Given  $x, G(x, \xi)$  is a random variable (the randomness is from  $\xi$ ), and the distribution of  $G(x, \xi)$  is unknown.
- Given x, realizations of  $G(x, \xi)$  can be observed by running simulation, or more generally, taking samples.



- <span id="page-4-0"></span>• OvS Problem can be classified into two types according to whether the explicit form of  $G(x, \xi)$  is available.
- White-box: The explicit form of  $G(x, \xi)$  is available.
	- Example:  $G(x,\xi) = sin((x \xi)^2)$ , where the distribution of ξ is unknown.
- Black-box: The explicit form of  $G(x, \xi)$  is not available and it is embedded in a simulation model.
	- Example: Let  $G(x,\xi)$  be the waiting time of a customer in a complex queueing network, where  $x$  represents the configuration parameters.





- OvS Problem can be classified into three types according to the feasible set  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- Ranking and selection  $(R \& S)$ : X is a set of relatively small number of (discrete) solutions.
- Discrete OvS (DOvS):  $X$  is [a](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html) discrete set, with huge or even countably infinite number of solutions.
	- One can also view R&S problem as a special type of DOvS problem.
- Continuous OvS (COvS):  $X$  is a continuous set, hence there exits uncountably infinite number of solutions.



#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**[Introduction](#page-2-0)**  $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)

#### 2 [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) **>** [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)

- [Black-box COvS Problem](#page-14-0) [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)  $\triangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)  $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





- For white-box OvS problems, we can use the sample average approximation.
- Of course, those algorithms d[es](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)igned for black-box OvS problems can also be applied to white-box OvS problems.



- <span id="page-8-0"></span>• Suppose that we have an iid sample  $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$  of  $\xi$ .
- To solve  $\min_{\bm{x}\in\mathcal{X}} q(\bm{x}) \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[G(\bm{x},\xi)]$ , we try to solve

$$
\min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol{x})\coloneqq\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^nG(\boldsymbol{x},\xi_i),
$$

with any suitable deterministi[c](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html) optimization algorithm (after  $\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n\}$  is realized).

- This method is called Sample Average Approximation (SAA); see [Kim et al. \(2015\)](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4939-1384-8_8) for a review.
- Clearly, for finite  $n$ ,  $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \widehat{g}_n(x)$  is a random variable (before  $\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n\}$  is realized), and it is not strictly equal to  $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} q(\mathbf{x}).$

• Indeed, one can prove that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\inf_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol{x})\right]\leq \min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}}g(\boldsymbol{x}).
$$

*Proof.* For any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$
\inf_{\boldsymbol x\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol x)\leq \widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol y)\Longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\inf_{\boldsymbol x\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol x)\right]\leq \mathbb{E}[\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol y)]=g(\boldsymbol y).
$$

Minimizing the right-hand side over all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  completes the proof.

• Moreover, it can also be shown that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\inf_{\bm{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\bm{x})\right]\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\inf_{\bm{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_{n+1}(\bm{x})\right]\leq \min_{\bm{x}\in\mathcal{X}}g(\bm{x}).
$$

(Prove it as an exercise)

上海文前

- What can we say if we continuously increase sample size  $n$ ?
- It will be **reassuring** if we know that the obtained solution will be closer and closer to the true solution, as we increase sample size  $n$ .
- Formally, we are seeking for a **convergence** guarantee for SAA method.



 $\bullet\,$  For set  $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ , the distance from  $\bm{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as

$$
\text{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{A}) \coloneqq \inf_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|,
$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean distance.

 $\bullet\,$  For sets  $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ , the deviation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined as

$$
D(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \coloneqq \sup_{\bm{x} \in \mathcal{A}} \text{dist}(\bm{x}, \mathcal{B}).
$$

• Let

$$
\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\bm{x} \in \mathcal{X}} g(\bm{x}), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_n \coloneqq \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\bm{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \ \widehat{g}_n(\bm{x}).
$$





- How fast does the SAA solution converge to the true solution?
- Formally, it's known as the rate of convergence.
- Under certain regularity conditions, one may show that

$$
\left|\min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}}\widehat{g}_n(\boldsymbol{x})-\min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}}g(\boldsymbol{x})\right|=O_p(n^{-1/2}),
$$

and given  $\mathcal{S} = \{x^*\}$  is a singleton,

$$
\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_n - \boldsymbol{x}^*\| = O_p(n^{-1/2}).
$$



#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**[Introduction](#page-2-0)**  $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)
- [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) ▶ [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)
- **3** [Black-box COvS Problem](#page-14-0)
	- [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)  $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





# Black-box COvS Problem

- Main types of algorithms for black-box COvS problems:
	- random search; see  $\boxed{\text{Andradóttir} (2015)}$  for a review;
	- stochastic approximation; see [Chau and Fu \(2015\)](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4939-1384-8_6) for a review;
	- surrogate-based methods; see [Hong and Zhang \(2021\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.03893) for a review.
- Stochastic Approximation (S[A\)](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html) was proposed by [Robbins and](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729586) [Monro \(1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729586) and [Kiefer and Wolfowitz \(1952\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729392).
- SA can be viewed as a stochastic version of the gradient descent (or called steepest descent) algorithm, so it is also called stochastic gradient descent.



<span id="page-16-0"></span>• Gradient descent is a first-order iterative optimization algorithm for finding a local minimum of a differentiable (deterministic) function:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - \gamma \nabla g(\boldsymbol{x}_k),
$$

where  $\nabla q(x)$  is the gradient and  $\gamma > 0$  is the step size.

• If the minimization problem is constrained, say the feasible set  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is convex and compact, one can easily add a projection  $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(x)$  mapping  $x \notin \mathcal{X}$  back into  $\mathcal{X}$ .



## Black-box COvS Problem  $\rightarrow$  Gradient Descent



- The value of the step size  $\gamma$  is allowed to change at every iteration, and with proper choice, convergence to a local minimizer (say,  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ ) can be guaranteed, i.e.,  $\boldsymbol{x}_k \to \boldsymbol{x}^*.$
- Under certain regularity conditions, one can show that  $|g(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - g(\boldsymbol{x}^*)| = O(k^{-1})$  for unconstraied problem with - ヒ 謹 ぞ 話 メ 滲 constant  $\gamma$ .

<span id="page-18-0"></span>• SA as a stochastic version of the gradient ascent:

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{k+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_k - a_k \widehat{\nabla}g(\boldsymbol{X}_k)\right),
$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the projection,  $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  is a deterministic positive sequence for step size, and  $\widehat{\nabla} g(x)$  is an estimmator of the gradient  $\nabla q(x)$ .

- In some simulation experiments, unbiased  $\widehat{\nabla} g(\boldsymbol{x})$  is available,<sup>†</sup> then it is the Robbins-Monro (RM) type SA [\(Robbins and](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729586) [Monro 1951\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729586).
- Otherwise,  $\widehat{\nabla} g(x)$  needs to be constructed with certain indirect method (thus biased), then it is the Kiefer-Wolfowitz (KW) type SA [Kiefer and Wolfowitz \(1952\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729392).

 $^\dagger$ When we observe  $G(\bm x, \bm \xi)$ , we will also observe  $\widehat{\nabla} g(\bm x, \bm \xi)$  at the same time such that  $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\nabla} g(\bm x, \bm \xi)]=\nabla g(\bm x).$ 

上海京涌大學

• Gradient descent vs SA (i.e., stochastic gradient desecent):



**Gradient Descent** 

**Stochastic Gradient Descent** 



• Construct  $\widehat{\nabla} g(\bm{X}_k)$  via symmetric (or central) finite difference:

$$
\widehat{\nabla}g\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right) \coloneqq \left(g_1\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right),\ldots,g_d\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right)\right)^{\mathsf{T}},
$$

where

$$
g_i\left(\boldsymbol{X}_k\right) := \frac{G(\boldsymbol{X}_k + c_k \boldsymbol{e}_i) - G(\boldsymbol{X}_k - c_k \boldsymbol{e}_i)}{2c_k},
$$

 $e_i$  denotes a  $d \times 1$  vector whose *i*th element is one and other elements are all zeros,  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ , and  $\{c_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a deterministic positive sequence.

• It requires  $2d$  aditional simulation runs (samples) to compute  $\widehat{\nabla}a(\boldsymbol{X}_k).$ 



• Let  $M$  denote the set of local optimal solutions:

$$
\mathcal{M} \coloneqq \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}: \ g(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{x})} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \right\},
$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(x) \subset \mathcal{X}$  denotes a neighborhood of  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .



 $\bullet$  Uunder certain conditions, for  $x^*\in\mathcal{M}$  such that  $X_k\stackrel{a.s.}{\longrightarrow}x^*,$ RM type SA can reach  $O_p(k^{-1/2})$  rate of convergence, i.e.,

$$
\|\bm{X}_k-\bm{x}^*\|=O_p(k^{-1/2}),
$$

while KW type SA can reach  $O_p(k^{-1/3})$  rate of convergence.

- Note that the above order is in terms of the iteration number  $k$ , rather than the number of simulation runs (sample size).
- If in terms of the sample size  $n$ , the rate of convergence of KW type SA is  $O_p((n/d)^{-1/3})$ , which depends on the dimensionality  $d$ .



• Simultaneous perturbation stochastic approximation (SPSA):

$$
\widehat{\nabla} g\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right) \coloneqq \left(g_1\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right), \ldots, g_d\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k}\right)\right)^{\intercal},
$$

where

$$
g_i\left(\boldsymbol{X}_k\right) := \frac{G(\boldsymbol{X}_k + c_k \boldsymbol{B}_k) - G(\boldsymbol{X}_k - c_k \boldsymbol{B}_k)}{2c_k B_{k,i}},
$$

 $\boldsymbol{B}_k \coloneqq (B_{k,1},\ldots,B_{k,d})^{\intercal}$ , and  $B_{k,\,i} = 1$  or  $\,-\,1$  with probability 1/2.

- It requires only 2 aditional simulation runs (samples) to compute  $\widehat{\nabla} q(\boldsymbol{X}_k)$ , no matter what d is.
- $\bullet$  SPSA can reach  $O_p(n^{-1/3})$  rate of convergence in terms of the sample size  $n$ . 上海交通大学

### <span id="page-24-0"></span>**[Introduction](#page-2-0)**  $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)
- [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) ▶ [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)
- 3 [Black-box COvS Problem](#page-14-0) [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)  $\triangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)
	- $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





- Many black-box DOvS algorithms are based on random search; see [Hong et al. \(2015\)](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4939-1384-8_2) for a review.
- The framework of random search:
	- Initialization: Arbitrarily choose  $x_0^* \in \mathcal{X}$ ; set the information set (that keeps visited solutions and their corresponding observations)  $\mathcal{F}_0$ ; set iteration index  $k = 0$ .
	- At Iteration  $k$ :

CC BY-SA

- Sampling: Choose the estimation set  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{X}$  (that contains solutions at which simulation will be run); some or all of the solutions in  $\mathcal E$  are randomly sampled from  $\mathcal X$  with distribution determined by information  $\mathcal{F}_k$ .
- Evaluation: For each  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ , spend simulation effort according to certain rule determined by  $\mathcal{F}_k$  and  $\mathcal{E}_k$ .
- $-$  Updating: <code>Update</code>  $\mathcal{F}_{k+1};$  choose some  $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}^*$  as the current best solution based on certain estimator; set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$ .

上海交通大学

- <span id="page-26-0"></span>• The simulated annealing algorithm dates back to the pioneering work by [Metropolis et al. \(1953\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1699114).
	- It studied how in the physical annealing process, particles of a solid arrange themselves into thermal equibibrium at a given temperature.
- A large body of literature has developed the simulated annealing algorithm to solve d[e](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)terministic global optimization problems over **finite** set; important works include [Kirkpatrick](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4598.671) [et al. \(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4598.671), [Mitra et al. \(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.2307/1427186), [Hajek \(1988\)](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3689827), etc.
- Later, the simulated annealing was extended to solve black-box DOvS problems over **finite** set; important works include [Bulgak and Sander \(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.1988.716241), [Gelfand and Mitter \(1989\)](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00939629), Alrefaei and Andradóttir (1999), etc.



- Let  $\mathcal{B}(\bm{x}) \subset \mathcal{X}$  denote a neighborhood<sup>†</sup> of  $\bm{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ .
- $\mathcal{B}(x)$  is carefully desined such that, for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , y is reachable from  $x$ .
	- That is, there exists a finite sequence  $\bm{x} = \bm{x}_0, \bm{x}_1, \dots, \bm{x}_\ell = \bm{y}$ such that  $x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{B}(x_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$ .
- Define transition probability  $R(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ , where  $R: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  and  $R(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow y \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{x})$ .
- Let  $\{t_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  be a positive sequence of numbers, which is konwn as the temperature.

 $\dagger$ The neighborhood structer can be quite different in discrete optimization compared to continuous optimization!

武川 ヒ 海 ネ ネ 大 浮

# Black-box DOvS Problem Simulated Annealing

- Simulated annealing algorithm for deterministic optimization:
	- Initialization: Arbitrarily choose  $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ; set iteration index  $k=0.$
	- At Iteration  $k$ :
		- Sampling: Sample a candidate solution  $Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}(X_k)$ according to distribution  $R(\mathbf{X}_k, \cdot)$ , i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Y}_{k+1}=\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{X}_k=\boldsymbol{x})=R(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}).
$$

- Evaluation: No need in the deterministic optimization.
- Updating: Let

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{k+1} \coloneqq \binom{\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}, \hspace{2mm} \text{with probability } \exp\Bigl\{\frac{-[g(\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1})-g(\boldsymbol{X}_{k})]^+}{t_{k+1}}\Bigr\}, \\ \boldsymbol{X}_{k}, \hspace{2mm} \text{otherwise};
$$

$$
\mathsf{set}\; k \leftarrow k+1.
$$

• To ensuer the simulated annealing algorithm for deterministic optimization is globally convergent, i.e.,

$$
\text{dist}(\boldsymbol{X}_k, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{a.s} 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty ,
$$

[Hajek \(1988, Theorem 1\)](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3689827) gives a sufficient condition.

 $\bullet$   $R(x, y)$  satisfies weak reversibility; a sufficient example is that

$$
R(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\coloneqq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{x})|}, & \text{if} \,\, \boldsymbol{y}\in\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{x}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
$$

with symmetric neighborhood, i.e.,  $y \in \mathcal{B}(x) \Longleftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{B}(y)$ . ●  $\{t_k\}_{k>1}$  takes the form

$$
t_k = \frac{c}{\ln(k+1)},
$$

where  $c$  is sufficiently large.<sup>†</sup>

 $^\dagger c \geq d^*$ , where  $d^*$  is the maximum depth  $[$  Hajek  $(1988, p313) ]$  of the local but not global optimal solutions.

上海空首大學

- Simulated annealing algorithm for black-box DOvS [\(Gelfand](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00939629) [and Mitter 1989\)](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00939629):
	- Initialization: Arbitrarily choose  $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ; set iteration index  $k=0.$
	- At Iteration  $k$ :
		- Sampling: Sample a candidate solution  $Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}(X_k)$ according to distribution  $R(\boldsymbol{X}_k, \cdot)$ , i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}=\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{X}_k=\boldsymbol{x})=R(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}).
$$

- Evaluation: Let  $\hat{g}(Y_{k+1}) := \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}} G(Y_{k+1}, \xi_i)$ ,  $\widehat{g}(\bm{X}_k) \coloneqq \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}} G(\bm{X}_k, \xi'_i).$
- Updating: Let

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{k+1} \coloneqq \binom{\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}, \hspace{2mm} \text{with probability } \exp\Bigl\{\frac{-[\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1})-\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{X}_{k})]^+}{t_{k+1}}\Bigr\},
$$

set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$ .

い ヒ みえる 大学

• [Gelfand and Mitter \(1989\)](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00939629) show that if

$$
\widehat{g}(\mathbf{Y}_{k+1})|\mathbf{Y}_{k+1}=\mathbf{y}\sim\mathcal{N}(g(\mathbf{y}),\sigma_{k+1}^2),
$$

such that  $\sigma_k = o(t_k)$ , then the simulated annealing algorithm used for DOvS has the same global convergence as its counterpart used for deterministic optimization.

- A sufficient condition is that:
	- $\bullet \ \ G(\boldsymbol{x},\xi) \sim \mathcal{N}(g(\boldsymbol{x}),\sigma^2(\boldsymbol{x}))$  [w](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)ith  $\sigma^2(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \sigma^2 < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .
	- $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  satisfies  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{t_k\sqrt{n_k}}=0$ , i.e.,  $n_k\coloneqq t_k^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 2$ .
- Alrefaei and Andradóttir (1999) propose a modified simulated annealing algorithm for DOvS, which is also globally convergent:
	- temperature  $t_k$  is constant;
	- the current best solution is chosed in a different way. I Find  $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$
- <span id="page-32-0"></span>• Convergent Optimization via Most-Promising-Area Stochastic Search (COMPASS) is a locally convergent algorithm for black-box algorithm proposed by [Hong and Nelson \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1050.0237).
- It can be used when the discr[et](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)e feasible set is finite (i.e., fully constrained) or infinite (i.e., partially constrained or unconstrained).



- COMPASS for DOvS [Hong and Nelson \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1050.0237):
	- Initialization: Arbitrarily choose  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ ; set  $x_0^* = x_0$  and  $V_0 = \{x_0\}$ ; take observations according to a simulation allocation rule (SAR) from  $x_0$ ; let  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{X}$ ; set iteration index  $k=0$ .
	- At Iteration  $k$ :
		- Sampling: Sample m so[lu](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)tions uniformly and independently from  $\mathcal{P}_k$ , denoted as  $\{x_{k1}, \ldots, x_{km}\}$ ; let  $\mathcal{V}_{k+1} \coloneqq \mathcal{V}_k \cup \{x_{k1}, \ldots, x_{km}\}\$ be the estimation set.
		- Evaluation: For each  $x \in V_{k+1}$ , take additional observations according to the SAR.
		- Updating: Update  $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ ; choose the solution in  $\mathcal{V}_{k+1}$  with smallest estimated funtion value as  $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}^*$ ; set  $k \leftarrow k+1.$



# Black-box DOvS Problem  $\longrightarrow$  COMPASS

 $\bullet\,$  The way to construct  $\mathcal{P}_k$  — the most promising area:



### <span id="page-35-0"></span>**[Introduction](#page-2-0)**  $\blacktriangleright$  [Definition](#page-3-0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  [Types](#page-4-0)
- [White-box OvS Problem](#page-6-0) ▶ [Sample Average Approximation](#page-8-0)
- 3 [Black-box COvS Problem](#page-14-0) [Gradient Descent](#page-16-0)
	- $\triangleright$  [Stochastic Approximation](#page-18-0)
- 4 [Black-box DOvS Problem](#page-24-0)  $\blacktriangleright$  [Simulated Annealing](#page-26-0)  $\triangleright$  [COMPASS](#page-32-0)





# Usage in Softwares

- In many commercial simulation softwares, like Arena, AnyLogic, Simio and FlexSim, OptQuest is integrated for simulation optimization.
- OptQuest is based on a combination of methods, including linear/integer programming, heuristics and metaheuristics.
	- It is robust when used to so[lv](https://shenhaihui.github.io/teaching/mem6810f/CC_BY-SA_4.0_License.html)e practical OvS problems;
	- but it has no provable convergence for OvS problems.
- None of those OvS algirhtms have been integrated into the commercial simulation softwares yet.
- So, for reaseachers in the field of OvS, there is still a long way to go...

